MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION				
Type of Requestor: (X) HCP () IE () IC	Response Timely Filed? () Yes (X) No			
Requestor	MDR Tracking No.: M4-04-0743-01			
AHC on Behalf of McAllen Medical Center 10002 Battleview Pkwy. Manassas, VA 20109	TWCC No.:			
	Injured Employee's Name:			
Respondent	Date of Injury:			
Texas Builders Insurance Co. Rep. Box # 1	Employer's Name: Lone Star Lease Service			
	Insurance Carrier's No.: 8653C			

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates of Service CPT Code(s) or Description		Amount in Dispute	Amount Due	
From	То	Ci i Couc(s) of Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Duc
1-31-03	2-13-03	Inpatient Hospitalization	\$62,003.46	\$13,232.75

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Stop-loss bills are to be paid at 75%.

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Paid per TWCC guidelines.

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it **does** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." In particular, this admission resulted in a hospital stay of 13 days based upon claimant had an "Extreme motor vehicle crash with open book type of blowout pelvic fracture...grade 3 laceration thought to be essentially complete laceration of the membranous urethra, and ...suprapubic catheter." Claimant was a diabetic. Also had a lung contusion, possible embolism. Accordingly, the stoploss method does apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the stop-loss methodology.

The requestor billed \$62,003.46 for the hospitalization. In determining the total audited charges, it must be noted that the insurance carrier has indicated some question regarding the charges for the implantables. The requestor billed \$3,038.00 for the implantables. The actual cost for the implants per invoices was unclear based upon EOB, "Many supply house invoices submitted with no indication what was used. Bill detail did not indicate exactly what type of plate and screws were used, nor did operative report. (1) plate, (9) screws implanted. Invoice plus 10% = \$458.70. Reimbursed \$303." An additional payment of \$155.70 was made on implantables.

Based on a reimbursement of \$458.70, it appears that the carrier found that the cost for the implantables was \$417.00 (reimbursed amount divided by 110%). This amount multiplied by the average mark-up of 200% results in an audited charge for implantables equal to \$834.00.

The audited charges for this admission, excluding implantables, equals \$58,965.46. This amount plus the above calculated audited charges for the implantables equals \$59,799.46, the total audited charges. This amount multiplied by the stop-loss reimbursement factor (75%) results in a workers' compensation reimbursement amount equal to \$44,849.59

The insurance carrier audited the bill and paid \$31,616.84 for the inpatient hospitalization. The difference between amount paid and amount due = \$13,232.75. Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health care provider is entitled to a reimbursement amount for these services equal to \$13,232.75. PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION AND ORDER Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement in the amount of \$13,232.75. The Division hereby **ORDERS** the insurance carrier to remit this amount plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the Requestor within 20-days of receipt of this Order. Ordered by: Allen McDonald, Director May 18, 2005 Authorized Signature Typed Name Date of Order Decision by: May 18, 2005 Elizabeth Pickle Typed Name Date of Order Authorized Signature PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on . This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request. The party appealing the Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute. Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812. PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision in the Austin Representative's box. Signature of Insurance Carrier: Date: